

## PORTFOLIO SELECTION WITH REGIME-SWITCHING: DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING APPROACHES

YONG HYUN SHIN\*

ABSTRACT. I study an optimal consumption and portfolio selection problem with regime-switching using a dynamic programming method. With constant relative risk aversion (CRRA) utility I obtain optimal solutions in closed-form.

### 1. Introduction

Motivated by Merton's seminal works [5, 6], much scholarly work has been conducted on portfolio optimization problems. Especially Karatzas *et al.* [4] have derived general explicit solutions of a consumption / investment optimization problem using a dynamic programming method. The regime-switching model, in which uncertainty is affected not only by the Brownian motion of a stock, but also by the long-term business cycle which is mathematically modeled as a continuous-time Markov chain, is becoming widely considered in mathematical finance (option pricing [2], real options [1], portfolio selection problems [3, 7]).

In this paper I investigate an optimal consumption and portfolio selection problem with regime-switching under the framework of Karatzas *et al.* [4]. With constant relative risk aversion (CRRA) utility I derive optimal solutions in closed-form.

### 2. The financial market

For a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , I define a standard Brownian motion  $B_t$  and a continuous-time two-state Markov chain  $\epsilon_t$ . It is assumed that  $B_t$  and  $\epsilon_t$  are independent and the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is generated by the Brownian motion  $B_t$  and the Markov chain  $\epsilon_t$ .

---

Received January 25, 2012; Revised April 22, 2012; Accepted April 24, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 91G10.

Key words and phrases: Regime-switching, CRRA utility, portfolio selection.

In this financial market, two assets are traded: One is a money market account and the other is a stock. It is assumed that there are two regimes 1, 2 in the market and regime  $i$  switches into regime  $j$  at the first jump time of an independent Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda_i$ , for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ . So, in regime  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , the interest rate is  $r_i$ , and the stock price process is governed by  $dS_t/S_t = \mu_i dt + \sigma_i dB_t$ . The market price of risk is defined by  $\theta_i \triangleq \frac{\mu_i - r_i}{\sigma_i}$   $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\pi_t$  be the  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -progressively measurable portfolio process, the amount of the agent's wealth invested in the risky asset at time  $t$  and  $c_t$  be the nonnegative  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -progressively measurable consumption rate process at time  $t$ . It is assumed that they satisfy the following conditions:

$$\int_0^t c_s ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t \pi_s^2 ds < \infty, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \text{ almost surely (a.s.).}$$

The agent's wealth process  $X_t$  at time  $t$  follows the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX_t = [r_i X_t + \pi_t(\mu_i - r_i) - c_t] dt + \sigma_i \pi_t dB_t, \quad X_0 = x > 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

### 3. The optimization problem

The agent's optimization problem is to maximize her expected utility

$$(3.1) \quad V_i(x) = \sup_{(c, \pi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^{T_i} e^{-\beta t} \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} dt + e^{-\beta T_i} V_j(X_{T_i}) \right],$$

where  $T_i$  is the first jump time from  $i$ -th state to  $j$ -th state,  $\beta > 0$  is a subjective discount factor, and  $\mathcal{A}(x)$  is an admissible class of pair  $(c, \pi)$  at  $x$ , where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ .

In order to guarantee the agent's problem to be well-defined, we have the following assumption.

ASSUMPTION 1.

$$K_i \triangleq r_i + \frac{\beta - r_i}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2\gamma^2} \theta_i^2 > 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The next theorem gives my main results.

THEOREM 1. *The value function is given by*

$$V_i(x) = M_i \frac{x^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma},$$

where  $M_i$  and  $M_j$  are the solutions to the system of algebraic equations

$$-(\gamma K_i + \lambda_i)M_i + \gamma M_i^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}} + \lambda_i M_j = 0,$$

where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . And the optimal strategies are given by  $(c_i^*, \pi_i^*)$  such that

$$c_i^* = M_i^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} x \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_i^* = \frac{\theta_i}{\gamma \sigma_i} x, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* From the optimization problem (3.1), I derive the Bellman equations as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad \max_{(c_i, \pi_i)} \left[ \left\{ r_i x + \pi_i(\mu_i - r_i) - c_i \right\} V_i'(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2 \pi_i^2 V_i''(x) - (\beta + \lambda_i) V_i(x) + \lambda_i V_j(x) + \frac{c_i^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right] = 0,$$

where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . By the first-order conditions (FOCs), I derive

$$(3.3) \quad c_i = (V_i'(x))^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_i = -\frac{\theta_i V_i'(x)}{\sigma_i V_i''(x)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

I now assume that the optimal consumption  $c_i^* = C_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is a function of wealth and that  $X_i(\cdot)$  is the inverse function of  $C_i(\cdot)$ . Then, from the FOCs in (3.3), I have

$$(3.4) \quad V_i'(x) = C_i(x)^{-\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad V_i''(x) = \frac{-\gamma C_i(x)^{-\gamma-1}}{X_i'(c_i)}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Substituting the FOCs in (3.3) with (3.4) into the equation (3.2), then

$$(3.5) \quad r_i c_i^{-\gamma} X_i(c_i) + \frac{\theta_i^2}{2\gamma} c_i^{1-\gamma} X_i'(c_i) - (\beta + \lambda_i) V_i(X_i(c_i)) + \lambda_i V_j(X_i(c_i)) + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} c_i^{1-\gamma} = 0,$$

where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . Differentiating the equation (3.5) with respect to  $c_i$ , then

$$(3.6) \quad r_i c_i^{-\gamma} X_i'(c_i) - r_i \gamma c_i^{-\gamma-1} X_i(c_i) + \frac{\theta_i^2}{2\gamma} c_i^{1-\gamma} X_i''(c_i) + \frac{1-\gamma}{2\gamma} \theta_i^2 c_i^{-\gamma} X_i'(c_i) - (\beta + \lambda_i) c_i^{-\gamma} X_i'(c_i) + \lambda_i V_j'(X_i(c_i)) X_i'(c_i) + \gamma c_i^{-\gamma} = 0,$$

where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . From the definition of  $C_i(\cdot)$  and  $X_i(\cdot)$ , I see that

$$(3.7) \quad x = X_1(c_1) = X_2(c_2) \quad \text{implies} \quad V_j'(X_i(c_i)) = V_j'(x) = c_j^{-\gamma},$$

where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . From the equation (3.6), I derive

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\theta_i^2}{2\gamma} c_i^2 X_i''(c_i) - \left( \lambda_i + \beta - r_i - \frac{1-\gamma}{2\gamma} \theta_i^2 \right) c_i X_i'(c_i) - r_i \gamma X_i(c_i) \\ + \lambda_i c_i \left( \frac{c_i}{c_j} \right)^\gamma X_i'(c_i) + \gamma c_i = 0,$$

where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . If I conjecture the solution  $X_i(c_i)$  of the form

$$(3.9) \quad X_i(c_i) = M_i^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} c_i \quad \text{and} \quad c_i = M_i^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} x, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

then  $X_i'(c_i) = M_i^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$  and  $X_i''(c_i) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . From the equality (3.7), I obtain

$$1 = \frac{X_i(c_i)}{X_j(c_j)} = \frac{M_i^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} c_i}{M_j^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} c_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{c_i}{c_j} \right)^\gamma = \frac{M_j}{M_i},$$

where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . So the equation (3.8) can be reduced into

$$(3.10) \quad -(\gamma K_i + \lambda_i) M_i + \gamma M_i^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}} + \lambda_i M_j = 0,$$

where  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ . I can easily show that there is a unique pair solution  $(M_1, M_2)$  to (3.10) using the proof of Lemma 1 of Jang *et al.* [3] under Assumption 1. I also derive the value function  $V_i(x) = M_i^{\frac{x^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}}$ , by substituting  $c_i$  in (3.9) into the FOCs in (3.3) and the Bellman equation (3.2). Finally I use the FOCs in (3.3) with the value function  $V_i(\cdot)$  to obtain the optimal policies  $(c_i^*, \pi_i^*)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] X. Guo, J. Miao, E. Morellec, *Irreversible Investment with Regime Shifts*, J. Econ. Theory **122** (2005), 37–59.
- [2] X. Guo, Q. Zhang, *Closed-Form Solutions for Perpetual American Put Options with Regime Switching*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **64** (2004), 2034–2049.
- [3] B. G. Jang, H. K. Koo, H. Liu, M. Loewenstein, *Liquidity Premia and Transaction Costs*, J. Finance **62** (2007), 2329–2366.
- [4] I. Karatzas, J. P. Lehoczky, S. P. Sethi, S. E. Shreve, *Explicit Solution of a General Consumption/Investment Problem*, Math. Oper. Res. **11** (1986), 261–294.

- [5] R. C. Merton, *Lifetime Portfolio Selection under Uncertainty: the Continuous-Time Case*, Rev. Econ. Stat. **51** (1969), 247–257.
- [6] R. C. Merton, *Optimum Consumption and Portfolio Rules in a Continuous-Time Model*, J. Econ. Theory **3** (1971), 373–413.
- [7] L. R. Sotomayor, A. Cadenillas, *Explicit Solutions of Consumption-Investment Problems in Financial Markets with Regime Switching*, Math. Finance **19** (2009), 251–279.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
Sookmyung Women's University  
Seoul 140-742, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: yhshin@sookmyung.ac.kr